

**AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS**

The attached sheets of drawings include deletion of figures with sequences that are included in the sequence listing. These sheets, which include Figures 1A-1C, 2A-2D and 3A-3B, replace the drawings filed January 20, 2004. Figures 1A-1C, 2A-2D and 3A-3B have been resubmitted in compliance with 37 CFR §1.83(a).

Attachments: Replacement Sheets for Figures 1A-1C, 2A-2D and 3A-3B.

### REMARKS

Claims 1-19 have been cancelled and Claims 20-36 have been added in place thereof.

Claims 20-31 are drawn to the same subject matter as the previously elected and now cancelled claims 1-14. As stated by the examiner the subject matter of these claims is: an isolated nucleic acid molecule encoding a delta 12-fatty acid epoxygenase enzyme, a chimeric gene, the chimeric construct, a vector, a host cell or a transgenic plant comprising of said nucleic acid, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1, for example.

Claims 32-36 are drawn to the same subject matter as the previously non-elected and now cancelled claims 15-19. These claims as stated by the examiner are directed to: a method for producing delta 12-epoxy fatty acids, or wherein method comprises transforming microbial or a plant cell with chimeric gene encoding delta-12 epoxy fatty acid epoxygenase enzyme, classified in class 800, subclass 281, for example.

There is also support for the newly added claims in the specification, as for example in Example 6, in which sequence similarity to the *Stokesia laevis* delta 12-epoxygenase gene is used to isolate analogues, homologs and derivatives of the delta 12-epoxygenase gene, using probes that are specific for the *Stokesia laevis* delta 12-epoxygenase gene and high stringency hybridization and wash conditions.

Applicant respectfully reserves the right to have rejoined the claims drawn to the method for producing delta-12 epoxy fatty acids, upon allowance of the product claims.

No new matter has been added by these amendments to the claims.

**DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.132**

The attached sheets include a declaration by co-inventor Dr. David Hildebrand stating that experiments on yeast and plant host cells were performed under his supervision and epoxy fatty acid formation was observed in cells transformed with the *Stokesia Laevis* delta 12-epoxygenase gene, as shown in the enclosed article "Expression of a *Stokesia laevis* epoxygenase gene", *Phytochemistry* (2004) pages 1-8.

**1. Formal Matters**

**1.1 Information Disclosure Statement**

The Examiner did not consider Document No. EP0267159A2 as included in IDS form 1449 filed on 5/14/2004 because an English translation was not provided. An English translation of the abstract of the document has been provided herein.

The Examiner did not consider Document No. EP0674725B1 because the IDS failed to identify Patentee or Applicant of the cited document. 37 CFR §1.98(b)(4) states:

(4) Each foreign patent or published foreign patent application listed in an information disclosure statement must be identified by the country or patent office which issued the patent or published the application, an appropriate document number, and the publication date indicated on the patent or published application.

Applicant provided all the information necessary to comply with 37 CFR §1.98(b)(4) including the patent office which issued the patent, the patent number and the publication date indicated on the patent in the IDS form 1449 filed 5/14/2004.

Applicant respectfully requests consideration of Document No. EP0267159A2 and Document No. EP0674725B1 as submitted in the IDS form 1449 included in this response.

**1.2 Specification**

The disclosure is objected to as failing to include an —e— between “R” and “combinant” in the title on Page 1. Applicant respectfully disagrees with this objection as the title on Page 1 does include an —e—.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to. The Applicants have corrected this matter in the Amendments to the Specification.

### **1.3 Drawings**

The Examiner objected to the drawings as failing to comply with 37 CFR §1.83(a). Applicants have removed previous Figures 1 and 2, and renumbered the drawings. Corrected drawings are included in this response.

## **2. Claim Objections**

It is respectfully submitted that the claim objections are moot in light of the claim amendments.

## **3. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112**

### **3.1 35 U.S.C. § 112 Second Paragraph**

The Examiner rejected claims 1-14 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter. Furthermore, Examiner argues that the term “analogue” is confusing as it is unclear how a nucleotide sequence comprising non-nucleotide constituents would encode a polypeptide.

Applicants respectfully submit that the use of the term “analogue” is well known to a person having ordinary skill in the art to denote a nucleotide sequence in which one or more substitutions of amino acids occurs but the function of the proteins encoded by the nucleotide sequence is maintained. An example of such an occurrence is the methylation of an amino acid, which does not affect the function of the proteins encoded by the nucleotide sequence.

Furthermore, the Examiner argues that the term “derivative” is confusing because it is unclear what is retained in the derived product.

The Examiner also suggests that the term “complement” be amended and rejects claims 7-9, 12 and 14-15 for lack of antecedent basis for the limitation “coding sequence”.

It is respectfully submitted that all of these objections are rendered moot by the amendments to the claims.

**3.2 35 U.S.C. § 112 First Paragraph**

The Examiner rejected claims 1-14 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph alleging that the specification fails to reasonably provide enablement for a nucleic acid as claimed in original claims 1-14. The Examiner argues that the specification does not provide guidance for a method of using a nucleic acid molecule encoding a delta 12-fatty acid epoxygenase enzyme and comprising an amino acid sequence which has at least 80% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:2.

Applicants respectfully disagree with the examiners conclusion. However, in order to expedite prosecution the claims have been amended as discussed above.

Moreover, as shown in Example 6 on pages 17 and 18 of the specification, the isolation of an analogue, homolog or derivative of *Stokesia laevis* delta 12-epoxygenase gene is conducted using probes that are specific for the gene, using high stringency hybridization and wash conditions. Thus, Example 6 clearly provides reasonable enablement for the instant claims.

The Examiner further argues as to claim 12, (now claim 29), that the specification does not provide guidance for a method of using a transformed host cell other than a bacterial or plant cell with a nucleic acid molecule encoding a protein of SEQ ID NO:2.

Applicants respectfully disagree. The skilled artisan will instantly recognize that the techniques disclosed to transform bacterial and plant cells would have been applicable to other host cells, such as viruses, yeast, and animal cells, which are routinely used in the art. Moreover, the application discloses expression of fatty acid modifying enzymes in yeast cells on page 3 of the specification.

In addition, applicants have included a 37 C.F.R. 1.132 declaration stating that applicants conducted experiments in yeast cells and observed epoxy fatty acid formation when the yeast host cells were transformed with the *Stokesia* epoxygenase gene. These experiments are detailed in the Journal Article “Expression of a *Stokesia laevis* epoxygenase gene” see Hatanaka *et al.*, Phytochem. 2004 pages 1-7, attached herewith of which applicants are co-authors. The article clearly discloses methods of transforming yeast host cell with a nucleic acid molecule encoding a protein of SEQ ID NO:2, see Hatanaka et al.; Phytochem. 2004 page 6.

The Examiner argues as to claim 14, (now claim 31), that the specification does not provide guidance for a method of using a nucleic acid encoding SEQ ID NO:2 to produce transgenic tissues other than seed with epoxygenase activity. However, the Examiner concedes that the specification does provide guidance on using SEQ 1 ID No. 1 encoding SEQ ID NO:2 to increase epoxy fatty acids in plant seeds.

Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner’s position in regards to transgenic tissue; however the claim has been amended and the applicants respectfully submit that the rejection is now moot.

**4. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) Hitz U.S. 5,846,784**

The Examiner rejects claims 1 and 7-14 as allegedly being anticipated by Hitz et al. Applicants have amended the claims, obviating this rejection.

**Application No.: 10/622,774**

It is believed that all pending claims are now in condition for allowance. Applicants therefore respectfully request an early and favorable reconsideration and allowance of this application. If there are any outstanding issues that might be resolved by an interview or Examiner's amendment, the Examiner is invited to call Applicant's representative at the telephone number shown below.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 500417 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP



Aamer S. Ahmed  
Registration No. 58,958

600 13<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20005-3096  
Phone: 202.756.8613  
Facsimile: 202.756.8087  
**Date: February 27, 2007**

**Please recognize our Customer No. 20277  
as our correspondence address.**











# Process for the genetic modification of monocotyledonous plants.

**Patent number:** EP0267159  
**Publication date:** 1988-05-11  
**Inventor:** GRIMSLEY NIGEL HARRY DR (FR); HOHN BARBARA DR (CH); HOHN THOMAS DR (CH); DAVIES JEFFREY WILLIAM DR (GB); BOULTON MARGARET IRENE (GB)  
**Applicant:** CIBA GEIGY AG (CH); LUBRIZOL GENETICS INC (US)  
**Classification:**  
**- international:** A01H1/00; C12N15/82; A01H1/00; C12N15/82; (IPC1-7): A01H1/00; A01N63/00; C12N1/20; C12N5/00; C12N15/00  
**- european:** A01H1/00; C12N15/82A4B  
**Application number:** EP19870810628 19871102  
**Priority number(s):** CH19860004456 19861107; CH19870002255 19870616

## Also published as:

 EP0267159 (A3)  
 CA1340925 (A)  
 BR8705984 (A)  
 AU611652B (B2)

## Cited documents:

 WO8603776  
 EP0201904

Report a data error here

## Abstract of EP0267159

The process for introducing genetic material into monocotyledonous plants or viable parts thereof comprises transfer microorganisms which are able to introduce said genetic material into monocotyledonous plants or viable parts thereof, and which contain the genetic material to be introduced in a transportable form, being inoculated in the form of a bacterial suspension into the meristematic tissue regions of the plant or viable part thereof. It is also possible, by suitable choice of the time of application with regard to the state of development of the recipient plant, for the transformation frequency to be additionally increased.

Data supplied from the esp@cenet database - Worldwide